

CIRCULATION COPY
SUBJECT TO RECALL
IN TWO WEEKS

UCRL- 83504
PREPRINT




Tearing-Mode Stability Analysis
of a Cylindrical Plasma

H. L. Berk

This paper was prepared for submittal to
Physics of Fluids

October 23, 1979



Lawrence
Livermore
Laboratory

This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author.

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

TEARING-MODE STABILITY ANALYSIS OF A CYLINDRICAL PLASMA*

H. L. Berk
University of California, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory
Livermore, CA 94550

ABSTRACT

An investigation of tearing mode stability for a cylindrical plasma finds that stability improves greatly as flux is excluded from the equilibrium and provided that the inner plasma surface is close to the axis.

Reversed field θ -pinch experiments exhibit long lifetimes,¹⁻³ and the question of stability to the tearing mode frequently arises. In this note, we use neighboring equilibrium arguments originally developed by Pfirsch⁴ to show that tearing-mode stability is strongly enhanced if two conditions are fulfilled: the first that the flux tend to be excluded in the bulk of the plasma, and the second that the plasma edge lie close to the axis. Such conditions tend to be fulfilled in reversed-field θ -pinch experiments and may be responsible for lack of observations of tearing instability. This beneficial effect can also arise in steady-state plasmas with a significant bootstrap effect, where the flux is low throughout the body of the plasma.

*Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore Laboratory under contract number W-7405-ENG-48.

First, let us consider a class of MHD cylindrical equilibria for the flux ψ that satisfy the Grad-Shafranov equation,

$$r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} + r^2 \frac{\partial p}{\partial \psi} = 0 \quad , \quad (1)$$

where p is the pressure, and the magnetic field $B_z = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}$. We choose

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial \psi} = -\alpha^2 \psi \Theta(\psi_e - \psi) \quad , \quad (2)$$

where ψ_e is the flux at the plasma edge.

The solution to Eq. (2) is

$$\psi_e = \frac{B_e}{\alpha} \coth [\alpha(r_0^2 - r_e^2)/2] \quad ,$$

$$\psi = -\frac{B_e}{2} (r^2 - r_e^2) + \frac{B_e}{\alpha} \coth [\alpha(r_0^2 - r_e^2)/2] \quad (3a)$$

$$r^2 < r_e^2$$

$$B = -B_e$$

$$\psi = \frac{B_e \cosh [\alpha(r^2 - r_0^2)/2]}{\sinh [\alpha(r_0^2 - r_e^2)/2]}$$

$$r_e^2 < r^2 < 2r_0^2 - r_e^2 \quad (3b)$$

$$B = \frac{B_e \sinh [\alpha(r^2 - r_0^2)/2]}{\sinh [\alpha(r_0^2 - r_e^2)/2]}$$

$$\psi = \frac{B_e}{\alpha} \coth [\alpha(r_0^2 - r_e^2)/2] + \frac{B_e}{2} (r^2 - 2r_0^2 + r_e^2) \quad ,$$

$$r_{ed}^2 \equiv 2r_0^2 - r_e^2 < r^2 < r_w^2 \quad (3c)$$

$$B = B_e \quad .$$

Here r_0 is the position of the field null, and the inner and outer boundaries of the plasma are $r^2 = r_e^2$, $2r_0^2 - r_e^2$, respectively. We assume the presence of a wall ($r^2 = r_w^2$) that traps the flux of the plasma.

To apply the neighboring equilibrium method, we need to consider perturbations of the form $\delta\psi = \delta\psi_k(r) \exp(ikz)$ that satisfy the equation

$$r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \delta\psi - k^2 \delta\psi = -r^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \psi^2} \delta\psi, \quad (4)$$

with the boundary condition $\delta\psi(r^2 = 0) = 0$. If we can show that $\delta\psi(r^2)$ has no nulls between $0 \leq r^2 \leq r_w^2$, then the system is stable to tearing. (This is the same technique used by Marx.⁵)

We now have, from Eq. (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \psi^2} &= -\alpha^2 \theta(\psi_e - \psi_1) + \alpha^2 \delta(\psi - \psi_e) \psi_e \\ &= -\alpha^2 \theta(r^2 - r_e^2) \theta(2r_0^2 - r_e^2 - r^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha^2 \psi_e}{r B_e} \left\{ \delta(r - r_e) + \delta[r - (2r_0^2 - r_e^2)^{1/2}] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Hence the equation for $\delta\psi$ becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{d\delta\psi}{dr} \right) - \alpha^2 \delta\psi \theta(r^2 - r_0^2) \theta(2r_0^2 - r_e^2 - r^2) - k^2 \delta\psi / r^2 \\ = -\frac{\alpha^2 \delta\psi}{r B_e} \psi_e \left\{ \delta(r - r_e) + \delta[r - (2r_0^2 - r_e^2)^{1/2}] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The easiest case to analyze (and the most difficult to stabilize) is $k = 0$, and we now limit ourselves to this case.

The solution to Eq. (6) is

$$\delta\psi^- = r^2/2, \quad r^2 < r_e^2 \quad (7a)$$

$$\delta\psi^0 = r_e^2/2 + \frac{a}{\alpha} \sinh [\alpha(r^2 - r_e^2)/2], \quad r_e^2 < r^2 < r_{ed}^2 \quad (7b)$$

$$\delta\psi^+ = \frac{r_e^2}{2} + \frac{a}{\alpha} \sinh [\alpha(r_0^2 - r_e^2)] + \frac{b}{2} (r^2 - r_{ed}^2), \quad r_{ed}^2 < r^2 < r_w^2. \quad (7c)$$

From Eq. (6) we also have the jump conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d\delta\psi^+}{dr} - \frac{d\delta\psi^0}{dr} \right|_{r=r_{ed}} &= -\alpha r_{ed} \coth [\alpha(r_0^2 - r_e^2)/2] \delta\psi^+(r_{ed}) \\ \left. \frac{d\delta\psi^0}{dr} - \frac{d\delta\psi^-}{dr} \right|_{r=r_e} &= -\alpha r_e \coth [\alpha(r_0^2 - r_e^2)/2] \delta\psi^-(r_e), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

which leads to

$$a = 1 - \frac{\alpha r_e^2}{2} \coth \left[\frac{\alpha(r_0^2 - r_e^2)}{2} \right], \quad b = -1. \quad (9)$$

The stability of the system demands that $\delta\psi^+(r_w) \geq 0$ with no nodes for $r < r_w$. Solving for r_w in Eq. (7c), by using Eq. (9), leads to

$$\alpha r_w^2 \leq 2\alpha r_0^2 + 2 \sinh [\alpha(r_0^2 - r_e^2)] \left\{ 1 - \frac{\alpha r_e^2}{2} \coth \left[\frac{\alpha(r_0^2 - r_e^2)}{2} \right] \right\}. \quad (10)$$

The most dramatic aspect of this result is the improvement of the stability criteria for large α if $\alpha r_e^2 < 2$. If we first consider $r_e = 0$, we have

$$r_w^2 \leq 2r_0^2 + \frac{2}{\alpha} \sinh (\alpha r_0^2), \quad (11)$$

so that

$$\frac{r_w^2}{2r_0^2} \rightarrow \begin{cases} 2, & \alpha r_0^2 \rightarrow 0 \\ \frac{1}{\alpha r_0^2} \exp(\alpha r_0^2), & \alpha r_0^2 \gg 1, \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

which shows that the wall can be exponentially far as α becomes large. Physically, α increasing corresponds to increasing the flux exclusion in the plasma. The flux contained in the plasma is $\psi(r_e) - \psi(r_0)$, which from Eq. (3b) gives,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(r_e) - \psi(r_0) &\xrightarrow{\alpha r_0^2 \rightarrow 0} \frac{B_e}{4} (r_0^2 - r_e^2) \\ &\xrightarrow{\alpha r_0^2 \gg 1} \frac{B_e}{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

The stabilizing property of flux exclusion disappears if the plasma edge is away from the axis, i.e., $\alpha r_e^2/2 \gtrsim 1$. Assuming $\alpha r_0^2 \gg 1$, αr_e^2 , Eq. (10) is approximately

$$r_w^2 \leq r_{ed}^2 + r_e^2 - \frac{2}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\alpha r_e^2}{2} - 1 \right) \sinh(\alpha r_0^2). \quad (13)$$

Then a wall outside the plasma can stabilize only when

$$\frac{\alpha r_e^2}{2} < \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\sinh \alpha r_0^2}} \approx 1. \quad (14)$$

REFERENCES

1. A. Eberhagen, W. Grossman, Z. Phys. 248, 30 (1971).
2. A. G. Eskov, et al., Proceedings of the 7th IAEA Conference on Plasma Physics and Controlled Thermonuclear Research (1978).
3. R. K. Linford, et al., Proceedings of the 7th IAEA Conference on Plasma Physics and Controlled Thermonuclear Research (1978).
4. D. Pfirsch, Z. Naturforschg. 17a, 861 (1962).
5. K. Marx, Phys. Fluids 11, 357 (1968).

NOTICE

"This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Department of Energy, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately-owned rights."

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.